EXHIBIT 20 FILED UNDER SEAL

	Page 1
	_
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3	ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
J	
4	:
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
5	et al.,
_	; Dl. 'a. '55a
6	Plaintiffs :
7	v. : No. 1:23-cv-00108
,	:
8	GOOGLE, LLC,
	:
9	Defendants. :
1.0	:
10 11	Tuesday, August 15, 2023
12	idesday, August 13, 2023
	Video Deposition of ALLEN OWENS,
13	
	taken at the Law Offices of Paul, Weiss, Rifkind,
14	
1 -	Wharton & Garrison LLP, 2001 K St NW, Washington,
15	DC, beginning at 9:37 a.m. Eastern Standard Time,
16	be, beginning at 5.57 a.m. Eastern Standard Time,
-	before Ryan K. Black, Registered Professional
17	
	Reporter, Certified Livenote Reporter and Notary
18	
19	Public in and for the District of Columbia
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Job No. CS6037511

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
	ANTITRUST DIVISION
4	BY: JIMMY MCBIRNEY, ESQ. CHASE PRITCHETT, ESQ.
5	ALVIN CHU, ESQ. MARK SOSNOWSKY, ESQ Via Zoom
6	KATHERINE CLEMONS, ESQ - Via Zoom JULIA TARVER-WOOD, ESQ Via Zoom
7	450 5th Street, N.W Washington, DC 20530
8	202.514.2414
	jimmy.mcbirney@usdoj.gov
9	chase.pritchett@usdoj.gov
	alvin.chu@usdoj.gov
10	mark.sosnowsky@usdoj.gov
	katherine.clemons@usdoj.gov
11	julia.tarver-wood@usdoj.gov
12	Representing - The United States of America
13	
14	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP, BY: MARTHA L. GOODMAN, ESQ.
15	LEAH HIBBLER, ESQ.
	2001 K St NW,
16	Washington, DC 202.223.7341
17	mgoodman@paulweiss.com
/	lhibbler@paulweiss.com
18	IIIIDDICI@Paulwelbb.com
10	Representing - Google LLC
19	Representing doogte the
20	
21	
22	
23	
	ALSO PRESENT:
24	
25	Orson Braithwaite - Legal Videographer Ann Bruck - Department of the Navy

	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	TESTIMONY OF: ALLEN OWENS PAGE
3	By Ms. Goodman6
4	EXHIBITS
5	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
6	Exhibit 52 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS174029 through
7	NAVY-ADS17406062
8	Exhibit 53 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS256935 through
9	NAVY-ADS25703197
10	Exhibit 54 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS12756 through
11	NAYV-ADS12800102
12	Exhibit 55 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS241136 through
13	NAVY-ADS241143111
14	Exhibit 56 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS15543 through
15	NAVY-ADS15622130
16	Exhibit 57 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS19114 through
17	NAVY-ADS19182146
18	Exhibit 58 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS45197 through
19	NAVY-ADS45206172
20	Exhibit 59 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS103897 through
21	NAVY-ADS103900182
22	Exhibit 60 a document Bates Numbered
	NAVY-ADS28530 through
23	NAVY-ADS28531187
24	
25	

Page 4 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. 2 going on the record at 9:37 a.m. on August 15th, 3 2023. Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may pick up whispering and private 5 conversations. Please mute your phones at this time. Audio and video recording will continue to 6 7 take place unless all parties agree to go off the record. 8 9 This is Media Unit 1 of the video-recorded deposition of Mr. Allen Owens 10 11 in the matter of United States, et al., versus 12 Google LLC, filed in the United States District 13 Court, Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division. Case Number 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA. 14 15 My name is Orson Braithwaite, 16 representing Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm 17 the videographer. The court reporter is Ryan Black from the firm Veritext Legal Solutions. 18 19 Counsel will now state their appearances 2.0 and affiliations for the record. 21 MS. GOODMAN: Martha Goodman of the law 22 firm Paul Weiss on behalf of Google LLC, and I'm 23 joined by my colleague Leah Hibbler. 24 MR. MCBIRNEY: Jim McBirney on behalf of 25 the Department of Justice on behalf of the United

	Page 5
1	States and the witness.
2	MR. PRITCHETT: Chase Pritchett on
3	behalf of the United States.
4	MR. CHU: Alvin Chu on behalf of the
5	United States.
6	MS. GOODMAN: And then will any
7	attorneys appearing remotely please state your
8	presence.
9	MR. SOSNOWSKY: Mark Sosnowsky, U.S.
10	Department of Justice.
11	MS. CLEMONS: Katherine Clemons,
12	Department of Justice.
13	MS. GOODMAN: Is there any
14	MS. BRUCK: Ann Bruck, Department of
15	Navy.
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We have a Ms. Wood.
17	MS. TARVER-WOOD: Yes. This is Julia
18	Tarver-Wood from DOJ. I'm not officially
19	entering an appearance. I'll be in and out
20	throughout the day.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the
22	court reporter please swear in the witness?
23	* * *
24	Whereupon
25	ALLEN OWENS,

Page 6 1 called to testify, having been first duly sworn 2 or affirmed, was examined and testified as 3 follows: 5 EXAMINATION BY MS. GOODMAN: 6 7 Good -- good morning, Mr. Owens. 0. 8 Α. Good morning. 9 Ο. Have you been deposed before? No, I have not. 10 Α. 11 Okay. I want to make sure -- go over Ο. 12 a few ground rules, the most important one of 13 which is because the court reporter is writing 14 everything down that we're saying, it's important 15 that you let me finish my question before you 16 answer so that we don't talk over one another. 17 Okay? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. And please make sure that your 20 answers are verbal, particularly if it's a yes or 21 no question. The uh-huh or huh-uh doesn't really 22 translate well to the -- to the piece of paper. 23 So please provide a verbal answer. Okay? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And I will assume that you Q.

	Page 7
1	an understand my question. If you don't
2	understand, please let me know. Okay?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Is there any reason that you
5	cannot provide your full fully truthful and
6	accurate testimony here today?
7	A. No, there's no reason.
8	Q. Okay. What, if anything, did you do to
9	prepare for today's deposition?
10	A. Met with counsel.
11	Q. When did you meet with counsel?
12	A. Various times.
13	Q. What various times that can you
14	recall meeting with counsel?
15	A. Yesterday, the day prior. And I don't
16	recall exact dates before that, but various
17	times.
18	Q. So yesterday meaning Monday and the day
19	prior meaning the Sunday before today?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And and then you said "various
22	times prior to last Sunday you met with counsel";
23	is that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. And were all of those meetings in

Page 85 1 may be an occasion where I might have, but not to 2 my recollection. 3 BY MS. GOODMAN: So it is possible that you've deleted Q. 5 documents in 2023 related to work, correct? MR. MCBIRNEY: Object to the form of the 6 7 question. THE WITNESS: There's a possibility. 8 9 BY MS. GOODMAN: 10 Okay. Under Navy's -- are you aware of 11 any document retention policies at the Navy? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And what do those policies provide? Sitting here today, I don't recall the 14 15 exact stipulations in those policies. 16 How about generally? What do you recall 17 as to what those document retention policies 18 state? Sitting here today, I -- I don't recall. 19 20 Okay. To your knowledge, do the Ο. 21 document retention policies permit you to delete files? 22 23 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; vague. 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sitting here today, 25 I don't recall the stipulations of that policy.

Page 86 1 BY MS. GOODMAN: 2 And thus you don't recall whether they 3 permit you to delete files, correct? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 5 answered. THE WITNESS: Yeah. My testimony is, 6 7 sitting here today, I do not recall the exact stipulations of that policy. 8 BY MS. GOODMAN: And, therefore, correct, you don't 10 11 recall whether those policies permit you to 12 delete files? 13 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. BY MS. GOODMAN: 14 15 Is that accurate? Q. 16 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 17 answered. 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So my testimony is, sitting here today, I do not recall the exact 19 20 stipulations of that policy. 21 BY MS. GOODMAN: 22 Q. Okay. And so you can't answer whether, 23 as a result of your inability to recall the exact 24 stipulations of this -- of the policy, you cannot 25 an -- you don't recall whether or not that policy

Page 87 1 permits you to delete files. Is that accurate? 2 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 3 answered. THE WITNESS: So it's my testimony that, 5 sitting here today, I don't recall the specific stipulations of that policy. 6 BY MS. GOODMAN: 7 Okay. And, therefore, you can't testify 8 Ο. one way or another to what that policy says with respect to the deletion of files, correct? 10 11 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 12 answered. 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Those were not my words. I said, sitting here today, I don't 14 15 remember the exact stipulations of the policy. 16 BY MS. GOODMAN: 17 Other than a lawyer, has anybody told Ο. 18 you anything about preserving documents with 19 respect to this litigation? 20 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of the 21 communications I received, whether those would be 22 privileged or not. 23 MR. MCBIRNEY: If you received 24 communications regarding preserving documents 25 that were either from a lawyer or at the

Page 88 1 direction of a lawyer, that's privileged and I'd 2 instruct you not to answer. If you received communications about preserving documents that do 3 not fall into those categories and you are 5 confident that they do not come from counsel, you can answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Then I cannot answer the question without revealing privileged 8 conversations. BY MS. GOODMAN: 10 11 And for the record -- and this is a yes 12 or no question -- have you received any direction 13 from anybody with respect to preserving documents 14 related to this litigation? 15 MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer that yes 16 or no. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. GOODMAN: 18 When did you receive such direction? 19 Ο. 20 Α. I don't recall the exact time frame. 21 Earlier this year in 2023. 22 Was it before or after you learned about Q. this lawsuit? 23 I don't recall. 24 Α. 25 Prior to this lawsuit, have you ever Q.

Page 89 1 requested legal advice from the Department of 2 Justice Antitrust Division? 3 Α. No. Prior to learning about this lawsuit, 5 have you ever requested legal advice from the Department of Justice Antitrust Division? 6 7 Α. No. Ο. Since receiving instructions with 8 9 respect to preserving documents related to this litigation, have you deleted any documents on any 10 11 of your devices? 12 Α. Not to my knowledge. 13 Q. So you've testified that VMLY&R is the ad agency for the Navy; is that correct? 14 15 Α. That is correct. 16 And they have been the ad agency for the Ο. 17 Navy since, approximately, 2016. Is that 18 accurate? 19 They have been the ad agency since 20 approximately 2016, yes. 21 Okay. And their contract with the Navy 22 was renewed or reentered into in 2021. Is that 23 accurate? 24 Yes, it was renewed in 2021. 25 Okay. Other than the VMLY&R, is there Q.

Page 90 1 any other agency -- ad agency engaged by the 2 Naval -- Navy Recruiting Command related to 3 advertising? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; foundation. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Our contract is with VMLY&R. It's my understanding they have other 6 7 businesses and agencies that work with them. But our contract is with VMLY&R. 8 9 BY MS. GOODMAN: 10 And are you aware of any contract 0. 11 between the Navy and any other ad agency related 12 to advertising? 13 Α. No. Were you involved in the selection of 14 15 VMLY&R when their contract was renewed in 2021? THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to discuss 16 17 contractual selection items? 18 MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer that question yes or no and we'll go from there. 19 2.0 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you ask that 21 question again? 22 BY MS. GOODMAN: Were you involved in the selection of 23 Q. 24 VMLY&R when their contract was renewed in 2021? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 209 1 of the term Open Web Display Advertising? 2 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; foundation. 3 Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I testified, I'm 5 familiar with the term; however, I don't know the definition of Open Web Display Advertising. 6 7 BY MS. GOODMAN: How are you familiar with the term Open 8 Ο. 9 Web Display Advertising? I've -- I've seen the term. But, 10 11 again, I -- I can't describe to you exactly the 12 definition of that. But, in general terms, I 13 understand it to be, as stated earlier, Display Advertising. 14 15 BY MS. GOODMAN: 16 Okay. Where have you seen the term Open Ο. 17 Web Display Advertising? I can't recollect exactly where I saw 18 Α. it. 19 20 Generally speaking, can you describe 21 anywhere you've seen the term Open Web Display 22 Advertising, such as in emails or documents 23 with your ad agency, on -- on other websites 24 discussing the advertising industry, any place 25 that you recall seeing that term?

Page 210 1 MR. MCBIRNEY: Object to form. 2 THE WITNESS: Sitting here today, I -- I 3 cannot remember where I've seen that term. BY MS. GOODMAN: 5 Q. Do you recall ever seeing it in any documents provided to you by VMLY&R? 6 7 As mentioned a moment ago, I cannot recall where I've seen the term. 8 9 Ο. And, thus, you don't know whether you've seen it in any documents provided by VMLY&R, 10 11 correct? 12 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 13 answered. Mischaracterizes the testimony. THE WITNESS: Yeah. As I -- as I 14 15 testified, I don't recollect where I've seen the 16 term. 17 BY MS. GOODMAN: 18 Q. Okay. Have you had any discussions with anybody about the term Open Web Display 19 Advertising and what it means? 20 21 Not to my knowledge. Α. 22 Prior to the filing of this lawsuit Q. 23 in January of 2023, were you aware of any 24 anticompetitive conduct on the part of Google 25 affecting Navy's advertising?

Page 211 MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer that 1 2 question to the extent it does not disclose 3 communications with counsel. THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, no. 5 BY MS. GOODMAN: And how about prior to this lawsuit, 6 7 did you ever have any concerns in your capacity as the director of marketing for the Navy 8 9 Recruiting Command that Google was engaging in anticompetitive conduct related to digital 10 11 advertising? 12 MR. MCBIRNEY: Object to foundation. 13 THE WITNESS: Prior to this, I had no 14 knowledge of nor reason to suspect that of 15 Google. 16 BY MS. GOODMAN: 17 Prior to this lawsuit, did you have Ο. 18 ever -- did you ever have any concerns that 19 Google was engaging in any conduct that was 2.0 causing the Navy harm with respect to its digital 21 advertising? 22 Sitting here today, I can -- I can think Α. of no reason to believe that. 23 24 You described Google, in fact, as a 25 partner of the Navy, right?

Page 212 1 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Assumes 2 facts. 3 THE WITNESS: Oftentimes, a lot of the businesses that we use will be referred to as a 5 partner if we're doing business with them, so I -- I may have referred to Google as a partner. 6 7 BY MS. GOODMAN: Has Google helped the Navy with respect 8 Ο. to recruiting more sailors to join? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; foundation. 10 11 THE WITNESS: We have found lots of 12 value in many of the Google buys that we've done. 13 BY MS. GOODMAN: And the Google buys that you've done 14 15 that you've found value in, does that relate to 16 YouTube buys? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. And how about with respect to 19 search? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. Can you describe in any more Ο. 22 detail the value that you have found in many of the Google buys that the Navy has done? 23 24 In particular, some of the YouTube 25 activations we've had have had extremely high

	Page 213
1	video completion rates.
2	Q. Any other
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, the Zoom's
4	offline.
5	MS. GOODMAN: Let's take a break.
6	MR. MCBIRNEY: We're going to be here a
7	while.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:07 p.m.
9	We're going off the record.
10	(Recess taken.)
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 5:14 p.m.
12	We're on the record.
13	BY MS. GOODMAN:
14	Q. Mr. Owens, can you describe any other
15	instances that the Navy has found value in any of
16	the Google buys that it has done?
17	A. Paid search, as well. We've found value
18	there.
19	I don't have a list at the ready, but
20	but there's it's been on many occasions.
21	Q. Can you approximate the number of
22	occasions that you've found value in Google buys
23	for the Navy?
24	MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; foundation.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I I can't

Page 214 1 provide an exact number or even a general number. 2 BY MS. GOODMAN: 3 Q. Just many? A. Yes. 5 Has anybody at VMLY&R ever told you that Q. Google was engaging in anticompetitive conduct 6 7 with respect to digital advertising? Α. 8 No. 9 Did anybody at Wavemaker ever tell you 10 that Google was engaging in anticompetitive 11 conduct with respect to digital advertising? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Has anyone ever told you that Google is engaging in anticompetitive conduct with respect 14 15 to digital advertising. 16 MR. MCBIRNEY: I interpret that to mean 17 anyone other than the attorneys. You can answer to the extent --18 19 MS. GOODMAN: The question is the 2.0 question. 21 MR. MCBIRNEY: Okay. Well, then I would 22 instruct the witness not to answer to the extent 23 it requires divulging privileged communication. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm going to listen to my 25 counsel --

Page 219 1 MR. MCBIRNEY: And lack of foundation. 2 THE WITNESS: So the Navy has purchased display advertising via our contract with VMLY&R, 3 and we've asked them to purchase that on our 5 behalf. BY MS. GOODMAN: 6 7 Okay. But my question is did the Ο. Navy -- to your knowledge, as director of 8 9 marketing for the Navy Recruiting Command and as the Contracting Officer Representative, did the 10 11 Navy purchase any display advertising directly 12 -- not through VMYL&R or any other intermediary 13 -- did the Navy purchase any display advertising directly from Google? 14 15 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 16 answered. Calls for a legal conclusion, and lack 17 of foundation. 18 THE WITNESS: We have purchased our marketing and advertising and media from Google, 19 20 as well as other companies, through our ad agency 21 contract. BY MS. GOODMAN: 22 23 Q. Okay. You're -- sir, you're not 24 answering my question, which is whether you've 25 purchased any display advertising -- meaning when

Page 220 1 I say you, the Navy, to your knowledge, have any 2 purchases been made directly, meaning between you -- the Navy -- and Google, as the two parties to 3 the transaction, has Google -- has the Navy made 5 any purchases of display advertising directly 6 from Google? 7 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and answered. Calls for a legal conclusion. Lack of 8 foundation. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm -- I'm 10 11 not a lawyer, so I'm not certain of the legal 12 definition of "purchase directly." But I can 13 tell you that, through our contract with VMLY&R, 14 we have asked them to purchase media on our 15 behalf from Google as well as other businesses. 16 BY MS. GOODMAN: 17 Ο. Okay. What do you mean -- what do you 18 understand the term "purchase" to mean just in 19 ordinary use? 20 I would define "purchase" as an exchange 21 of resources for a good or service. 22 Q. Okay. What do you understand the term, in ordinary use, "directly" to mean? 23 24 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for a 25 legal conclusion in this context.

Page 221 1 BY MS. GOODMAN: 2 I'm asking for the plain language, 3 ordinary meaning, that you, Mr. Owens, understand the term "directly" to mean? 5 MR. MCBIRNEY: Same objections, and 6 vague. 7 THE WITNESS: Can I answer the question? MR. MCBIRNEY: You can answer if you 8 9 can, yeah. THE WITNESS: I would think -- I would 10 11 understand directly to mean either -- I mean, 12 between two parties. 13 BY MS. GOODMAN: Okay. So with your under -- with the 14 Ο. 15 definitions that you've provided, based on your 16 ordinary understanding of these words of the term "purchase" and "directly," I'm going to ask you a 17 18 series of questions, and I would ask you to 19 please give me a yes or no answer. 20 Did the Navy purchase any display 21 advertising directly from Google? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 22 answered. Calls for a legal conclusion. Lack of 23 foundation. 24 25 THE WITNESS: The Navy purchased

Page 222 1 advertising from Google via our contract with 2 VMLY&R. 3 BY MS. GOODMAN: So, no, the Navy did not purchase Q. 5 anything directly from Google, correct? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked 6 7 and answered. Calls for a legal conclusion. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That was not my testimony. My testimony is that the Navy 10 11 purchased advertising from Google via our 12 contract with VMLY&R. 13 BY MS. GOODMAN: Using your term -- your understanding 14 Ο. 15 of the term "directly"; that is, between two 16 parties, I'll ask you a series of questions. 17 Was there any transaction between the Navy and Google for the purchase of display 18 19 advertising, --20 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked --21 BY MS. GOODMAN: 22 Q. -- that you are aware of. 23 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and 24 answered. Lack of foundation. Calls for a legal 25 conclusion.

Page 223 1 THE WITNESS: Our purchase was from 2 Google via our contract with VMLY&R. 3 BY MS. GOODMAN: Q. You have no other answer that you can 5 provide to my questions? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; argumentative. 6 THE WITNESS: Well, without knowing what 7 your other questions are, I can't --8 9 BY MS. GOODMAN: 10 I'm asking whether you can answer my 11 question in a yes or no manner, and, if you 12 cannot, why you are unable to answer it in a yes 13 or no manner based on the definitions in your understanding of the term "purchase" and of the 14 15 term "directly." 16 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. 17 Argumentative. Harassment. 18 THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that I should have the ability to provide complete 19 20 answers, --21 BY MS. GOODMAN: 22 Q. Mm-hmm. 23 -- and I don't want my answer to be 24 mischaracterized, so that's why I'm -- I'm being 25 complete.

Page 224 1 In order for me to certify invoices 2 for payment, I have to see what went where, so 3 that includes what went to Google, what went to Facebook, et cetera. So our purchases are from 4 5 those entities, but it's via our contract with VMLY&R. 6 7 Q. And you don't send dollars directly to Google, correct? 8 9 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for 10 legal conclusion. Asked and answered. Lack of foundation. 11 12 BY MS. GOODMAN: 13 Q. Do you ever write a check or issue a 14 payment via electronic bank transfer from a Navy 15 account to Google? 16 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection; vague. 17 Foundation. 18 THE WITNESS: We pay for our purchases 19 from various vendors through our contract with 2.0 the agency. 21 BY MS. GOODMAN: 22 And so when you need to pay Google, you Q. 23 don't pay Google directly. Rather, you pay 24 VMLY&R, correct? 25 MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Asked and

Page 225 1 answered. Calls for a legal conclusion. 2 THE WITNESS: As I stated, when we are paying for the services that we have purchased 3 from various vendors, we pay it through our 5 invoicing setup with VMLY&R. BY MS. GOODMAN: 6 7 And, thus, there is a step in between Ο. you -- meaning the Navy -- and Google in this 8 scenario, where the money goes, correct? MR. MCBIRNEY: Objection. Calls for 10 11 legal conclusion. Asked and answered. Lack of 12 foundation. 13 THE WITNESS: We pay for our goods and our services procured from Google via the 14 15 contract with VMLY&R. 16 BY MS. GOODMAN: 17 All right. Well, Mr. Owens, I can see Ο. 18 that you're unwilling to answer the questions as 19 posed, and I'll reserve my rights to bring you 20 back to answer these questions. Because you are 21 not providing an answer that is responsive to the 22 question, and that is your duty to do when you 23 are here testifying under oath. 24 MR. MCBIRNEY: And for the record, 25 the government strongly disagrees with that

Page 280 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I do hereby certify that I am a Notary Public in good standing, that the aforesaid 4 5 testimony was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time and place indicated; that 6 7 said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 8 9 truth; that the testimony of said deponent was 10 correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and thereafter transcribed under my supervision with 11 12 computer-aided transcription; that the deposition 13 is a true and correct record of the testimony 14 given by the witness; and that I am neither of 15 counsel nor kin to any party in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof. 16 17 WITNESS my hand and official seal this 18 19 17th day of August, 2023. 20 Jean K. Zau. 21 Notary Public 22 23 24 25

Page 281 1 Jimmy McBirney, Esq. 2 jimmy.mcbirney@usdoj.gov 3 August 17, 2023 RE: United States, Et Al v. Google, LLC 4 5 8/15/2023, Allen Owens (#6037511) The above-referenced transcript is available for 6 7 review. Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should 8 read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are 9 10 any changes, the witness should note those with the reason, on the attached Errata Sheet. 11 12 The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of 13 Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney. 14 Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at 15 erratas-cs@veritext.com 16 17 Return completed errata within 30 days from 18 receipt of testimony. 19 If the witness fails to do so within the time allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed. 20 21 22 Yours, 2.3 Veritext Legal Solutions 24 25

		Page 282
Uni	ted States, Et Al v. Google, LLC	
Alle	en Owens (#6037511)	
	ERRATA SHEET	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REAS	SON	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REAS	SON	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REAS	SON	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REA	SON	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REAS	SON	
PAG	E LINE CHANGE	
REA	SON	
Alle	en Owens Dat	ce

	Page 283
1	United States, Et Al v. Google, LLC
2	Allen Owens (#6037511)
3	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
4	I, Allen Owens, do hereby declare that I
5	have read the foregoing transcript, I have made any
6	corrections, additions, or changes I deemed necessary as
7	noted above to be appended hereto, and that the same is
8	a true, correct and complete transcript of the testimony
9	given by me.
10	
11	
12	Allen Owens Date
13	*If notary is required
14	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
15	, DAY OF, 20
16	
17	
18	
19	NOTARY PUBLIC
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.